

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOx 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,461	11/28/2001	Howard B. Sosin	2002832-0016	2420
7590 09/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Brenda Herschbach Jarrell, Ph.D.			LEGESSE, NINI F	
Choate, Hall &	Stewart		<u> </u>	
Exchange Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53 State Street			3711	
Boston, MA 0	2109		D. TE MAN ED. 00/00/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>f</i> f				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/996,461	SOSIN, HOWARD B.				
merview commany	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nini F. Legesse	3711				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Attorney Brenda Jarrell.	(3)					
(2) Attorney Charles Lyon.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 September 2004</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: US 4,681,791 to Shibahashi and US 5,394,824 to Johnson.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, it required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the course of he interview, claim 1 was discussed as it relates to the prior art of record. Ms. Jarrell explained how the invention functions differently than the applied prior art of record. Ms. Jarrell discussed that she would further define the claims by adding some expression to indicate that the device is to be used as a golf mat and by indicating that localized stress causes localized changes in the mat. In conclusion, it was agreed that any amendment and argument that Applicant would provide in response to the final rejection would be considered upon submission and Examiner will contact Applicant if there is any allowable subject matter and /or suggestions for the claim language..